	ED STATES PATENT	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,276	01/21/2004	Min-soo Kim	249/444	1403
27849 7590 01/25/2007 LEE & MORSE, P.C.			EXAMINER	
	W PARK DRIVE		LEBRON, JANNELLE M	
SUITE 500 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TALLS CHOR	011, 111 22012		2861	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	01/25/2007	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/760,276	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jannelle M. Lebron	2861	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	bears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 C</u>	october 2006.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,19-26 and 36-40</u> is/are pending i	n the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,19,20,36,39 and 40</u> is/are rejecte	d.		
7) Claim(s) <u>3-8,21-26,37 and 38</u> is/are objected t			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c			
Application Papers	:		
_	~		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		biostod to by the Exeminer	
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 January 2004</u> is/are		• •	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			`
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	a Office Action of form PTO-152.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have beer	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
ttachment(s)			
acconnect(s)	· · · · ·		
) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🚺 Interview 3		•
	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	

•

★

.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 2, 36, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki (US 2002/0060704).

3. Suzuki et al. discloses a droplet ejector comprising:

• Claim 1:

a fluid path (16 in figs. 2A-3B) through which a fluid moves, a nozzle (32 in figs.

2A-3B) being formed on one end of the fluid path;

a volumetric structure (piezoelectric element 50) formed in the fluid path, the volumetric structure being sensitive to an external stimulus (voltage) and being capable of varying in size (expands, as seen in fig. 3B) to eject a droplet of the fluid through the nozzle (paragraph 0019; fig. 3B); and

a stimulus generator (polarizing device 70 in fig. 6), which applies a stimulus to the volumetric structure to vary a size of the volumetric structure (paragraph 0022), wherein the droplet ejector is configured to eject the droplet of fluid upon application f the stimulus (paragraph 0019).

• Claim 2:

wherein the volumetric structure (50) expands in size to eject the droplet through the nozzle, and the stimulus generator applies the stimulus to the volumetric structure to expand the size of the volumetric structure (paragraph 0019).

• Claim 36:

wherein the volumetric structure (50) exhibits a non-isotropic variation in size upon application of the stimulus.

• Claim 39:

wherein the volumetric structure (50) is configured to expand to a size greater than its original size (paragraph 0019).

• Claim 40:

wherein the volumetric structure (50) is configured to contract to its than its original size (when removing the stimuli).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki (US 2002/0060704) in view of Torgerson et al (US 2003/0122895).

6. Suzuki discloses an ink-jet printhead, comprising:

• Claim 19:

a substrate on which a manifold (44 in figs. 2A-3B) for supplying ink is formed;

a barrier layer, which is stacked on the substrate and on which an ink chamber to be filled with ink to be ejected and an ink channel for providing communication between the ink chamber and the manifold are formed (as seen in figs. 2A-3B);

a volumetric structure (50), which is formed in a position where ink moves, the volumetric structure being sensitive to an external stimulus (voltage) and being capable of varying in size (expands) to eject the ink droplet through the nozzle (32; paragraph 0019); and

a stimulus generator (70 in fig.6), which applies a stimulus to the volumetric structure to vary a size of the volumetric structure (paragraph 0022).

• Claim 20:

wherein the volumetric structure (50) expands in size to eject the ink droplet through the nozzle (32), and the stimulus generator (70) applies the stimulus to the volumetric structure to expand the size of the volumetric structure (paragraph 0019).

Suzuki fails to teach:

• Claim 19:

a nozzle plate, which is stacked on the barrier layer and in which a nozzle, through which an ink droplet is ejected, is formed.

Torgerson et al. discloses an inkjet printhead (100 in fig. 3) comprising a nozzle plate (13 in fig.3) attached to the top of the ink barrier layer (12 in fig.13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Suzuki invention to include a nozzle plate stacked on the barrier layer as taught by Torgerson et al. for the purpose of defining the ink chambers and ink openings in a way that improves the printing quality.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-8, 21-26, 37 and 38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The primary reason for allowance for these claims is the inclusion of the limitations of a droplet ejector:

• Claim 3, 8 and 21:

wherein the volumetric structure (26) is formed of stimulus sensitive hydrogel.

• Claim 37:

wherein the volumetric structure is formed on a surface that defines a portion of the fluid path, and the volumetric structure expands in a first and second directions upon application o the stimulus, the first and second directions being orthogonal to each other.

It is these limitations, either alone or in combination as claimed that have not been taught, found, or suggested by prior art.

9. Claims 4-7, 21-26 and 38 are allowable subject matter due to their dependency on allowable claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jannelle M. Lebron whose telephone number is (571) 272-2729. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jannelle M. Lebrón AU 2861 01/18/2006

STEPHEN MEIER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER